

Journal of Human, Environment, and Health Promotion



Journal homepage: www.zums.ac.ir/jhehp

## Photocatalytic Removal of Pseudomonas aeruginosa from Water Using Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles and UV Irradiation

# Roya Alimoradzadeh<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ali Assadi<sup>a</sup>, Fatemeh Afshar<sup>b</sup>, Ali Reza Rahmani<sup>b</sup>, Mohammad Reza Samarghandi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Health, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran.

\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: r.alimoradzade@gmail.com

#### ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received September 29, 2015 Accepted October 23, 2015

Article Type: Orginal Article DOI: 10.29252/jhehp.1.1.4

*Keywords:* Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) Water Disinfection Pseudomonas aeruginosa Titanium Dioxide UV Irradiation Pseudo-First order Kinetics

#### A B S T R A C T

**Background:** Titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>)-mediated photocatalysis has been found to be an efficient method of water treatment and is capable of degrading a wide range of organic pollutants and microbial agents with high efficiency. The microorganism *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is resistant to chemicals and UV irradiation. Bacteria which are resistant to UV-induced oxidative damage of the cell membrane are susceptible to photocatalytic technology. The main objective of this research was to examine the photocatalytic removal of *P. aeruginosa* upon UV irradiation in the presence of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles.

**Methods:** Polluted water samples were prepared by the addition of *P. aeruginosa* colonies into water, followed by contact with UV,  $TiO_2$ , or a combination of the two in separate stages. The effect of various parameters, including contact time, pH, and  $TiO_2$  concentration, on reaction efficiency was examined.

**Results:** The highest efficiency of bacterial disinfection was achieved with UV irradiation in the presence of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles, with complete (100%) removal of *P. aeruginosa* observed upon irradiation for 60 min in the presence of 0.4 g/L TiO<sub>2</sub> under conditions of neutral pH.

**Conclusions:** The results of this study suggest that from a technical and economic perspective, the  $UV/TiO_2$  process may be effectively applied for the disinfection of polluted water. This process could be considered a promising method of cleaning and purification, and applicable for water disinfection.

## **1. Introduction**

Water quality and human health are fundamental for disease prevention and promoting the quality of life. There is an increasing demand for clean water resources, and the shortage of such resources due to rapid industrialization, population growth,

**To cite:** Alimoradzadeh R, Assadi A, Afshar F, Rahmani AR, Samarghandi MR. Photocatalytic Removal of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa from Water Using Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles and UV Irradiation. *J Hum Environ Health Promot*. 2015; 1(1): 27-33.

and long-term droughts has become a global issue. Water industries and governments in certain arid areas with abundant sunlight, less rainfall, and long-term droughts face the challenging task of seeking viable water resources. Approximately 4 billion people worldwide have been estimated to have little or no access to clean water resources, and millions die every year due to severe waterborne diseases [1].

Chlorine, chlorine dioxide, ozone, and UV irradiation are commonly employed for the disinfection of drinking water. Chlorine and chlorine dioxide are employed as final disinfectants because of the assured maintenance of a detectable disinfectant residual within the distribution network. which renders drinking water safe from a microbiological perspective. In contrast, UV irradiation and ozone treatment can only be employed for primary disinfection, as they fail to ensure the maintenance of a detectable residual [2]. Photocatalysis has recently emerged as an alternative technology for bacterial inactivation [1,3] and organic compound oxidation [4, 5].

In recent years, the use of  $TiO_2$  as a photocatalyst for water treatment has been extensively reported. The illumination of TiO<sub>2</sub> with light of wavelength less than 380 nm results in the generation of highly reactive holes (h<sup>+</sup>) in the valence band as well as hydroxyl (.OH) radicals generated via the oxidation of water molecules by the valence band holes. These species have demonstrated ability to decompose a wide range of organic compounds (both aromatic and aliphatic), dyes, pesticides, and herbicides. Compared to other semiconductors, TiO<sub>2</sub> is particularly suitable as a photocatalyst for water treatment because it is highly photo-reactive, cheap, non-toxic, chemically and biologically inert, and photostable [4]. The effectiveness of this process in water treatment has prompted numerous studies on the suitability of TiO<sub>2</sub>-

mediated photocatalysis for water disinfection, and varying degree of efficacy of this technique has been reported [6].

The first report on photocatalytic disinfection of water was published by Matsunaga *et al.* in 1985; they showed that the disinfection process followed second order kinetics in the presence of high concentrations of microorganisms, with complete microbial inactivation achieved upon 60 min of irradiation [7].

Several subsequent studies investigated the use of TiO<sub>2</sub> along with UV irradiation for the inactivation of different microorganisms [1, 6, 8-12]. Pseudomonas aeruginosa. which belongs to the genus Pseudomonas, is a highly adaptable human pathogen capable of utilizing 80 organic compounds. This the bacterium is third most common pathogenic agent encountered in hospitals, after Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia *coli* [13].

The aim of this study was the evaluation of TiO<sub>2</sub>-mediated heterogeneous photocatalysis in the presence of UV irradiation for the removal of *P. aeruginosa* from water samples under environmental conditions.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Bacterial culture

A culture of *P. aeruginosa* was provided by the faculty of Microbiology Department of Medicine in Hamadan University of Medical Sciences. Polluted water samples were prepared by the addition of a single colony of *P. aeruginosa* from blood agar plates into 2500 ml of water.

TiO<sub>2</sub> (Degussa, P-25), as a catalyst was employed as the photocatalyst in a batch-type reactor from Evonik-Industries, Germany. The sample used in the present study had a BET surface area of 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g, average particle diameter of 30 nm, and a density of 130 g/L. Other chemicals were of analytical grade, and were used without further purification. Deionized and double-distilled water was used throughout the present study. The pH of solutions was adjusted using NaOH and  $H_2SO_4$ , as needed.

#### 2.2. UV source and light intensity

A low-pressure UV lamp of 40 W and 80 cm length was installed 5 cm above the sample surface. The UV light intensity, as measured by a radiometer in the 300–400 nm range was  $0.9 \text{ J/s.m}^2$ .

#### 2.3. Experimental setup

The photochemical cell consisted of sterile 250-ml beakers and magnetic stirrers used for stirring the samples. The reactor temperature was controlled at 20 °C. During the first phase of the run, the beakers were filled with 200 ml of polluted water, and in separate stages, were subjected to UV irradiation, TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment, or a combination of these two. The effect of various parameters, including pH (5.1, 7.0, and 8.5) and the concentration of  $TiO_2$  (0.2–6 g/L), on the reaction process was examined after 60 min of treatment. Polished aluminum was employed as the reflective material for the protection of samples that were not subject to irradiation. During the second phase of the run, the optimal values of pH and TiO<sub>2</sub> concentration obtained from the first stage were employed for the determination of optimal contact time (0, 15, 30, 45, and 60 min).

In the third phase of the run, the optimal values obtained from the previous stages were employed for determining the effect of  $TiO_2$  concentration on the efficiency of the disinfection process. The disinfection efficiency, E, was calculated as follows:

$$E = \frac{c_i - c_f}{c_i} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

 $C_i$  and  $C_f$  correspond to the initial and final most probable number (MPN)/100 ml, respectively. A schematic of the experimental details of the reactor is presented in Fig. 1.



Samples were withdrawn from the sample port of the reactor at predetermined time intervals, and filtered using membrane filter (0.45  $\mu$ m pore-size) for the removal of TiO<sub>2</sub> particles. P. aeruginosa contamination in the samples was measured as MPN/100 ml before, during, and after the treatments using presumptive and confirmation tests that employed fermentation technique. Tubes were incubated at 35 °C to 37 °C for 48 h. Asparagine and acetamide broths were employed in the presumptive and confirmation tests, respectively. All analyses were carried out according to standard methods [14].

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Effect of operational conditions

Prior to conducting photocatalytic oxidation runs, several blank experiments were performed in order to assess bacterial removal through mechanisms other than photocatalytic reactions. The adsorption of P. aeruginosa from the water sample was found to be less than 5% under dark conditions and 60-min treatment duration. All the reactor parts were made of glassware to minimize the effect of adsorption. The role of UV irradiation *alone* as a function of time brought about moderate changes in the number of bacteria. Moreover, near-complete removal of Р. aeruginosa was achieved upon simultaneous treatment of the water sample with 0.4 g/L TiO<sub>2</sub> and UV irradiation. The combination of these two processes (the  $UV/TiO_2$ system) resulted in further improvement in bacterial removal to 100%. Fig. 2 shows the profile of *P.aeruginosa* removal under different reaction conditions.



**Fig. 2:** Effect of UV Irradiation and  $TiO_2$  on the Removal of *P. aeruginosa*. ( $TiO_2 = 0.4$  g/L, pH=7).

## 3.2. Effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> dosage on the removal of *P*. aeruginosa

The optimal concentration of TiO<sub>2</sub> was determined by mixing various amounts of the catalyst (0.2–6 g/L) with a constant MPN/100 mL of *P. aeruginosa*. Figure 3 shows that the addition of TiO<sub>2</sub> increased the efficiency of bacterial removal by the UV/TiO<sub>2</sub> system, with a TiO<sub>2</sub> dosage of 0.4 g/L resulting in complete (100%) removal of *P. aeruginosa* from the water sample. However, further addition of TiO<sub>2</sub>, even doubling of the catalyst concentration or beyond, resulted in slightly decreased extent of *P. aeruginosa* removal (less than 93.4%). The optimal amount of TiO<sub>2</sub> for the experiment was confirmed to be 0.4 g/L.



**Fig. 3:** *P. aeruginosa* Removal as a Function of the Initial Concentration of TiO<sub>2</sub>. (Initial MPN/100 ml=220000, pH=7, t=60 min).

#### 3.3. Influence of pH

Examination of the effects of pH is important, given that it is a main operating parameter that governs surface properties of the catalyst in aqueous phase. Figure 4 shows that the performance of the UV/TiO<sub>2</sub> system was independent of pH; significant variations were not observed in the pH range of 5.1–8.5, but a slight decrease was observed in acidic pH. The best results were obtained at neutral pH, where bacteriawere completely eliminated upon irradiation lasting less than 60 min.

#### 3.4. Order of the reaction

The removal of bacterial population was observed as afunction of irradiation time, and data were fitted to the first-order rate equation (1):

$$ln\frac{c}{c_0} = -kt \tag{1}$$

Where  $C_0$  and C correspond to the number of bacteria at irradiation times 0 (initial) and t (final), k is the first-order rate constant (min<sup>-1</sup>), and t, the irradiation time (min). The process under optimum conditions of operation was used for the illustration of first order kinetics, as shown (Fig. 5).



**Fig. 4:** *P. aeruginosa* Removal as a Function the pH Value.

(Initial MPN/100 ml=220000,  $TiO_2 = 0.4 \text{ g/L}$ )



**Fig.5:** Constant Reaction Rate (k) Using UV/TiO<sub>2</sub> Process.

(Initial MPN/100 ml=220000,  $TiO_2 = 0.4$  g/L, pH=7) **4. Discussion and Conclusion** 

Disinfection has become a challenging aspect of water treatment due to the rapid elevation of health standards and a growing requirement for pollution-free water resources. TiO<sub>2</sub>-mediated photocatalysis appears to be an effective method for water disinfection, with the type and concentration of catalyst playing an important role in treatment efficiency [15]. The present study revealed that the UV/TiO<sub>2</sub> system was appropriate for the removal of P. aeruginosa from contaminated water resources. While UV irradiation alone resulted in a moderate reduction in bacterial numbers, the resistance of P. aeruginosa to UV irradiation resulted in low rates of decrease in bacterial MPN over time in the absence of TiO<sub>2</sub> [16]. A high rate of bacterial destruction was observed in samples irradiated in the presence of TiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2), due to the generation of hydroxyl radicals. Similar results have been reported in other studies [8, 17, 18].

The amount of catalyst is a significant parameter of the photocatalytic process. As shown in Figure 3, a TiO<sub>2</sub> dosage of 0.4 g/L showed comparable efficiency of bacterial removal with a treatment duration of 30 min. Several studies have shown that bacterial removal rates are strongly influenced by the number of active sites and photo-absorption property of the catalyst employed. An adequate dosage of the catalyst increases the rate of generation of electron-hole pairs, and thereby, the formation of hydroxyl radicals that promote photodegradation. However, an overdose of the catalyst decreases UV penetration due to opacity caused by excess catalyst clusters and increased scattering effect [4, 8, 17].

The UV/TiO<sub>2</sub> system failed to reveal significant effects of pH on the removal of *P*. *aeruginosa*. As shown in Figure 4, pH values in the range of 5.1-8.5 support near-complete removal of bacteria within 60 min, although a slight decrease in the extent of removal was

observed in acidic pH. This decrease is mostly attributable to the slight loss of TiO<sub>2</sub> at low pH, and the higher removal rates at higher pH, to sustained TiO<sub>2</sub> stability. This observation supports an earlier study, where the use of another system revealed complete removal of bacteria within 30 min over the pH range 4–10 [17, 19]. Cho et al., (2004) reported that E. coli has a net negative surface charge, while the  $TiO_2$  particle surface has a point of zero charge at a pH of 6.3. Thus, greater electrostatic repulsion is expected between the TiO<sub>2</sub> particles and coliform bacteria at high pH due to negative surface charges on both; the TiO<sub>2</sub>/water interface is likely to be important in several instances of photocatalytic degradation of charged substrates, albeit it is a relatively weak force which is easily overshadowed by other factors [19, 20].

An exponential decrease in residual P. aeruginosa was observed with time, as shown in Figure 2; this suggests that the reaction is likely to have followed pseudo-first order kinetics. As shown in Figure 5, the log transformation of the UV/TiO2-mediated removal of P. aeruginosa confirmed that the reaction closely followed pseudo-first order kinetics. The reaction rate constant (k) was found to be  $0.113 \text{ min}^{-1}$  under optimal These conditions. observations support previous studies which reported complete removal of bacteria by photocatalytic systems following pseudo-first order kinetics [18]. In contrast, other studies showed that the disinfection process followed second order kinetics in the presence of high concentrations of microorganisms [21]. Saito et al., (1992) showed that dissolved salts affect disinfection rates and inhibit the photocatalytic reaction, with phosphate and chloride having the highest and lowest inhibitory effects, respectively. This can be explained by the adsorption of anions onto the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub>, which inhibits its photocatalytic activity [22].

The results obtained in the present study indicate that the UV/TiO<sub>2</sub> process is effective and reliable for the removal of *P. aeruginosa* from water samples, with complete removal achieved with UV irradiation for 60 min in the presence of 0.4 g/L TiO<sub>2</sub> at pH 7.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the Vice-Chancellor for Research and Technology, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, for financial support.

## References

1. Chong MN, Jin B, Chow CW, Saint C. Recent Developments in Photocatalytic Water Treatment Technology: a Review. *Water Res.* 2010; 44(10): 2997-3027.

2. Rizzo L. Inactivation and Injury of Total Coliform Bacteria after Primary Disinfection of Drinking Water by TiO<sub>2</sub> Photocatalysis. *J Hazard Mater*. 2009; 165(1): 48-51.

3. Malato S, Fernández-Ibáñez P, Maldonado MI, Blanco J, Gernjak W. Decontamination and Disinfection of Water by Solar Photocatalysis: Recent Overview and Trends. *Catalysis Today*. 2009; 147(1): 1-59.

4. Alimoradzadeh R, Assadi A, Nasseri S, Mehrasbi MR. Photocatalytic Degradation of 4-Chlorophenol by UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/NiO Process in Aqueous Solution. *Iran J Environ Health Sci Eng.* 2012; 9(1):1-8.

5. Assadi A, Eslami A. Comparison of Phenol Photodegradation by UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and Photo-Fenton Processe. *Environ Eng Manag J*. 2010; 9: 807-12.

6. Robertson J, J Robertson PK, Lawton LA. A Comparison of the Effectiveness of TiO<sub>2</sub> Photocatalysis and UVA Photolysis for the Destruction of Three Pathogenic Micro-Organisms. *Journal of Photochemistry and*  *Photobiology A: Chemistry.* 2005;175(1):51-6.

7. Matsunaga T, Tomoda R, Nakajima T, Wake H. Photoelectrochemical Sterilization of Microbial Cells by Semiconductor Powders. *FEMS Microbiology letters*. 1985; 29(1-2): 211-4.

8. Daneshvar N, Niaei A, Akbari S, Aber S, Kazemian N. Photocatalytic Disinfection of Water Polluted by Pseudomonas *aeruginosa*. *Global Nest Journal*. 2007; 9(3):1-5.

9. Rodrigues CP, Ziolli RL, Guimarães JR. Inactivation of Escherichia Coli in Water by TiO<sub>2</sub>-Assisted Disinfection Using Solar Light. *J Brazilian Chem Society*. 2007; 18(1): 126-34.

10. Pigeot-Rémy S, Simonet F, Errazuriz-Cerda E, Lazzaroni JC, Atlan D, Guillard C. Photocatalysis and disinfection of water: identification of potential bacterial targets. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental.* 2011; 104(3): 390-8.

11. Paleologou A, Marakas H, Xekoukoulotakis NP, Moya A, Vergara Y, Kalogerakis N, Gikas P, Mantzavinos D. Disinfection of Water and Wastewater by TiO<sub>2</sub> Photocatalysis, Sonolysis and UV-C Irradiation. *Catalysis Today*. 2007; 129(1): 136-42.

12. Van Grieken R, Marugán J, Sordo C, Martínez P, Pablos C. Photocatalytic Inactivation of Bacteria in Water Using Suspended and Immobilized Silver-TiO<sub>2</sub>. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2009; 93(1): 112-8.

13. Gacesa P, Russell NJ. Pseudomonas Infection and Alginates: Biochemistry, Genetics, and Pathology. *Chapman & Hall*; 1990.

14. APHA, AWWA, WEF. Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater.

Washington, DC: American Public Health Association; 2005.

15. Lydakis-Simantiris N, Riga D, Katsivela E, Mantzavinos D, Xekoukoulotakis NP. Disinfection of Spring Water and Secondary Treated Municipal Wastewater by TiO<sub>2</sub> Photocatalysis. *Desalination*. 2010; 250(1): 351-5.

16. Hassen A, Mahrouk M, Ouzari H, Cherif M, Boudabous A, Damelincourt JJ. UV Disinfection of Treated Wastewater in a Large-Scale Pilot Plant and Inactivation of Selected Bacteria in a Laboratory UV Device. *Bioresource Technology*. 2000; 74(2): 141-50.

17. Chai YS, Lee JC, Kim BW. Photocatalytic Disinfection of *E. coli* in a Suspended TiO<sub>2</sub>/UV Reactor. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 2000; 17(6): 633-7.

18. Sun DD, Tay JH, Tan KM. Photocatalytic Degradation of E. coliform in Water. *Water Res.* 2003; 37(14): 3452-62.

19. Rahmani A, Samarghandi MR, Samadi MT, Nazemi F. Photocatalytic Disinfection of Coliform bacteria using UV/TiO<sub>2</sub>. *J Res Health Sci*. 2009; 9(1):1-6.

20. Cho M, Chung H, Choi W, Yoon J. Linear Correlation between Inactivation of *E. coli* and OH Radical Concentration in TiO<sub>2</sub> Photocatalytic Disinfection. *Water Res.* 2004; 38(4): 1069-77.

21. Matsunaga T, Tomoda R, Nakajima T, Wake H. Photoelectrochemical Sterilization of Microbial Cells by Semiconductor Powders. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 1985; 29(1-2): 211-4.

22. Saito T, Iwase T, Horie J, Morioka T. Mode of Photocatalytic Bactericidal action of Powdered Semiconductor TiO<sub>2</sub> on Mutans Streptococci. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*. 1992; 14(4): 69-79.