

Journal of Human, Environment and Health Promotion

Journal homepage: www.zums.ac.ir/jhehp

# Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders, Occupational Stress, and Their Associations with General Health in Working Populations in Various Industries



CrossMark

Ehsan Asivandzadeh a 💿 | Khalil Azami a 💿 | Zeynab Jamalizadeh a 💿

<sup>a</sup> Department of Occupational Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran.

\*Corresponding author: Zeynab Jamalizadeh

Department Of Occupational Health Engineering, School Of Public Health, Qazvin University Of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran. Postal code: 7189785978.

E-mail address: Hse.jamali1991@gmail.com

## ARTICLE INFO

Article type: Original article

Article history: Received September 5, 5018 Revised October 20, 2018 Accepted November 11, 2018

DOI: 10.29252/jhehp.4.4.5

*Keywords:* Work-related Musculoskeletal disorders Job stress General health

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs) have been documented in various occupational groups in Iran. However, there is a gap in the studies regarding the prevalence of WMSDs, occupational stress, and general health in workers. The present study aimed to investigate the correlations between WMSDs, occupational stress, and general health. **Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted on 455 workers selected from various

**Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted on 455 workers selected from various industries in 10 cities in Iran. Data were collected using self-reported measures to evaluate the body map, HSE management standards indicator tool, and general health questionnaire (GHQ).

**Results:** In total, 455 questionnaires were completed and analyzed. The mean differences were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test. The results of Spearman's correlation-coefficient indicated a significant, positive correlation between WMSDs and occupational stress. In addition, negative correlations were observed between general health, WMSDs, and occupational stress.

**Conclusion:** Some of the recommendations based on the findings are modifying the workplaces of individuals who perform long-term, static tasks, periodic identification of the individuals with musculoskeletal problems and taking measures to correct their posture, designing proper physical exercises for the individuals with hard work postures, and cognitive-behavioral education to raise awareness regarding the complications of mental health problems.

## 1. Introduction

Work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs) are among the main causes of incapacity at work [1], which is a worldwide health concern in industrialized and industrially developing countries [2-4]. In the past decade, work-related activities have been considered to be a major cause of WMSDs [5, 6]. The imbalance of occupational demands with the ability to work have contributed to the high prevalence of WMSDs, which may develop gradually within days, weeks, months or even years after exposure to unfavorable working conditions [7-9]. Some of the main risk factors for WMSDs are workplace activities such as manual handling, bending, twisting, work pace, repetitive tasks, heavy load lifting, awkward posture, and seated static postures. Furthermore, individual characteristics and cognitive and psychosocial factors are considered to be the important predictive variables in this regard [1, 4, 10, 11].

**To cite:** Asivandzadeh E, Azami Kh, Jamalizadeh Z. Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders, Occupational Stress, and Their Associations with General Health in Working Populations in Various Industries. *J Hum Environ Health Promot.* 2018; 4(4): 169-74.

Among various psychosocial factors, occupational stress has been reported to have a more significant association with the incidence of WMSDs. According to the literature, occupational stress negatively influences the progression of WMSDs, and the majority of these cases pose significant risk to the physical and mental health of individuals [12-14]. According to Karasek Jr (1979) the demand-control model revealed that increased work-related psychological demands without a corresponding increase in job control leads to higher occupational stress, causing adverse health outcomes. The World Health Organization (WHO) has defined health as a state of complete physical, psychological, and social wellbeing and not merely the absence of illnesses [14-17].

A gap in the studies in this regard is that none have been focused on the association of health-related outcomes with occupational stress and WMSDs in working populations. The present study aimed to explore the associations of WMSDs with occupational stress and general health in the Iranian working populations in various industries of several cities.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study Design, Samples, and Data Sources

This cross-sectional study was conducted on various workers employed in eight industries, including drilling projects, bridge construction, highway construction, water diversion projects, railway construction, tunneling construction, build power plants, and building construction in 10 cities in Iran. These industries were selected since they are classified as high-risk industries with a substantial number of occupations, each of the eight industries should have high efficiency, and it is possible to compare the study parameters in various industries and professions.

A step-by-step design was used with the randomly selection of the number of the occupations in each industry in 10 cities. The selected individuals were classified based on eight industrial sectors (drilling projects: 55, bridge construction: 15, highway construction: 37, water diversion projects: 73, railway construction: 98, tunneling construction: 28, build power plants: 28, and building construction: 121). The sample population consisted of 455 Iranian adults (100% male) with different occupations aged 22-72 years, who had been employed for a minimum of one year. The inclusion criteria of the study were willingness to participate in the study, absence of psychotherapy, drug addiction, and alcohol consumption, and a minimum work experience of one year in the current position [18]. Despite sample stratification, the objective of the study was not to make comparisons between the occupations and selected cities. The researchers liaised with the participants in each industry to obtain the required permit for accessing the occupations. With the permission of the unit managers, the researchers approached the individuals who were employed in the units in order to request their participation. The objectives of the study were explained faceto-face, and copies were distributed among the individuals who were willing to partake.

Data were collected using five instruments. The first section of the questionnaire included the demographic characteristics of the participants. In addition, the standardized body map questionnaire for musculoskeletal symptoms was used to determine the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms by assessing 27 body segments [19]. Another data collection tool was the HSE management standards indicator tool, which is a framework covering six domains that might cause stress in employees at work. The items in this questionnaire are scored based on a five-point Likert scale (Strongly Disagree-Strongly Agree). Each item refers to a management standard; items 3, 6, 9, 12, 16, 18, 20, and 22 refer to 'demands', items 2, 10, 15, 16, 19, 25, and 30 refer to 'control', items 7, 8, 23, 24, 27, 29, 31, 33, and 35 refer to 'support', items 5, 14, 21, and 34 refer to 'interactions', items 1, 4, 11, 13, and 17 refer to 'roles', and items 26, 28, and 32 refer to 'changes'.

The indicator tool could be used to analyze the viewpoints of individuals toward their working conditions and stress levels. The questionnaire responses are numbered 1-5. Based on the reliability test results, the items in this questionnaire have significant correlations and have been extracted from factor analysis. The reliability of the items that were extracted from the HSE questionnaire was 0.92, 0.73, 0.75, 0.63, 0.87, 85, and 0.22 for the items regarding demands, control, managerial support, peer support, interactions, roles, and changes, respectively. In addition, reliability was estimated at 78% and 65% using the Cronbach's alpha and split-half method, respectively [20].

Another data collection instrument was the general health questionnaire (GHQ), which is used to identify psychiatric disorders in the general population within the community or non-psychiatric clinical settings. Moreover, GHQ assesses the current state of the respondent to determine the differences with their common state. To test the reliability of this scale, its internal consistency was assessed using the Cronbach's alpha coefficient ( $\geq 0.70$ ), which considered satisfactory [21]. It is notable that GHQ is easily administered and consists of 12 items. The response ranges are 'better/healthier than normal', 'same as usual', 'worse/more than usual', and 'significantly worse/more than usual'. The exact wording depends on the particular nature of each item. In this study, we applied Goldberg's original scoring method, in which the response categories are determined with the scores of zero, zero, one, and one, respectively (score range: 0-12) [22, 23].

#### 2.1. Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed in SPSS version 23. The descriptive data were expressed as mean, standard deviation (SD), and percentage. In addition, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to examine the significant differences between the industries and occupations. The Kruskal-Wallis test is a non-parametric method to determine whether samples originate from the same distribution and is used for the comparison of two or more independent samples of equal or different sample sizes. The correlations between the WMSDs, occupational

stress, and general health were evaluated using the Spearman's correlation-coefficient.

## 3. Results and Discussion

In total, 473 questionnaires (response rate = 93.0%) were completed and returned. Among these, 18 questionnaires were less than partially completed and eliminated from further analysis. The remaining questionnaires (n = 455) were used for analysis. The characteristics of the employees in eight industries (mean age, marital status, education level, work experience, and employment status) are presented in Table 1. All the participants in the present study were male. The job category distribution in the eight industries was shown as the percentage of the respondents (Table 2). The results of stress and general health in the eight industries are summarized in Table 3.

According to the findings, the prevalence of occupational stress was higher in the employees of highway construction, drilling projects, industrial power plants, building construction, and railway construction compared to the employees of bridge construction, water diversion projects, and tunneling construction. Furthermore, the obtained results indicated that the level of general health was higher in the employees of tunneling construction, bridge construction, water diversion projects, and railway construction compared to the employees of drilling projects, building construction,

#### Table 1: Sample characteristics

highway construction, and industrial power plants.

The findings regarding stress and general health in different job categories are presented in Table 4. The highest prevalence of stress was observed in HSE managers, project managers, executive directors, installation maintenance supervisors, and HSE supervisors. The lowest prevalence of stress was observed in welders, cooks, workers, and caretakers. In addition, the highest level of general health was denoted in workers, cooks, caretakers, welders, and light machinery drivers, while the lowest level of general health was observed in HSE managers, quality assessment managers, project control directors, electrical supervisors, and project managers. Mean demographic variables in terms of stress and general health is shown in Table 5.

The comparison of the mean WMSDs, stress, and general health in the eight industries (*P* MSDs < 0.001, *P* stress < 0.001), indicating statistically significant differences between each job category (*P* MSDs < 0.001, *P* stress < 0.001). Moreover, the results of Spearman's correlation-coefficient in the jobs in eight industries demonstrated a significant, positive correlation between WMSDs and stress (r = 0.242), as well as negative correlations between WMSDs and general health (r = -0.494) and occupational stress with general health (r = -0.361). Recently, there has been growing research regarding stress, and special attention has been paid to the association of mental state and physical disorders.

		industria														
Variable	Drilling project (N = 55)		Construction (bridge) (N = 15)		Construction (high way) (N =37)		Water diversion project (N = 73)	Times New Roman (Headings CS)		Construction (industrial- power plant) (N = 28)		Construction (building) (N = 121)		Construction (rail way) (N = 98)		
	%	Mean (SD)	%	Mean (SD)	%	Mean (SD)	%	Mean (SD)	%	Mean (SD)	%	Mean (SD)	%	Mean (SD)	%	Mean (SD)
Age				()		()		(==)		()		()		(==)		(==)
≤ 42	92.7	33.98	60	39.27	83.8	36.19	82.2	35.95	71.4	37.96	85.7	34.96	80.2	35.17	75.5	36.49
43-52	4	(5.80)	33.3	(9.81)	13.5	(8.18)	15.1	(7.4)	21.4	(9.07)	14.3	(6.58)	17.4	(8.57)	21.4	(8.06)
≥ 53	0.0		6.7		2.7		2.7		7.1		0.0		2.5		3.1	
Marital status																
Married	72.7		86.7		78.4		83.6		71.4		85.7		75.2		82.7	
Single	27.3		13.3		21.6		16.4		28.6		14.3		24.8		17.3	
Education																
≤ diploma	49.1		40.0		27.0		58.9		64.3		32.1		50.4		62.2	
Bachelors	36.4		60.0		70.3		34.2		35.7		60.7		38.8		31.6	
Masters	14.5		0.0		2.7		6.8		2.5		7.1		10.7		6.1	
Work experience																
1-10	67.3	8.18	46.7	12.81	48.6	11.0	63.0	9.59	60.7	11.61	60.9	9.57	68.6	9.41	59.2	9.89
11-20	30.9	(5.26)	40.0	(9.10)	43.2	(6.62)	28.8	(6.52)	17.9	(8.76)	17.7	(5.58)	20.7	(7.75)	33.7	(6.57)
21-37	1.8		13.3		8.1		8.2		21.4		21.4		10.7		7.1	
Employment Status																
Contractual Official	100		100		91.9		97.3		100		96.4		94.2		89.8	
Temporary					8.1		1.4				3.6		5.8		2.0	
Contract							1.4								8.1	

Industrial

	Industrial									
Job categories	Drilling project %	Construction (bridge) %	Construction (high way) %	Water diversion project	Construction (tunneling) %	Construction (industrial- power plant)	Construction (building) %	Construction (rail way) %		
_				%		%				
Executive		6.7								
Director										
Executive	7.3	20.0	8.1	11.0	32.1		8.3	21.4		
Supervisor										
Conservator	3.6		2.7	4.1		7.1	19.8	6.1		
Cook	1.8		2.7	1.4				1.0		
Care Taker	1.8		5.4	4.1			0.8	1.0		
IT Clerk	1.8			1.4		3.6	2.5	2.0		
Project Control	3.6						0.8	1.0		
Director										
HSE Manager						3.6	1.7			
HSE Supervisor						3.6	0.8	1.0		
HSE Officer			2.7	4.1		7.1	0.8	2.0		
Concrete Lab	23.6						-	1.0		
Supervisor										
Concrete Lab	14.5									
Technician										
Electrical						14.3	0.8			
Supervisor						1.110	0.0			
Technical Office	7.3	20.0	18.9	9.6		10.7	7.4	1.0		
Supervisor	7.0	20.0	10.0	0.0		10.7	1.4	1.0		
Mechanic Man	1.8	20.0	5.4			3.6	2.5	4.1		
Foreman	1.8		5.4	2.7		3.6		4.1		
Welder	1.0			2.7						
Digger Operator	27.3			2.1	3.6					
Worker				0.0						
	1.8	6.7		9.6	32.1	3.6	11.6	8.2		
Material	1.8	6.7	5.4	1.4		7.1	9.9	4.1		
Supervisor		00.0		00 F	00.4		4 -	00 F		
Light Machinery		20.0	5.4	20.5	32.1		1.7	26.5		
Driver			- <b>-</b>	- <b>-</b>						
Heavy			2.7	2.7		3.6		2.0		
Machinery										
Driver										
Project							2.5			
Manager										
Quality										
Assessment/				2.7		3.6		1.0		
Quality Control										
Installation										
Maintenance						3.6		2.0		
Supervisor										
Machinery										
Maintenance			5.4	1.4						
Supervisor										
Surveyor			2.7	4.1			3.3	2.0		
Office Clerk			27.0	16.4		21.4	24.8	8.2		

#### Table 2: Percentage of job categories in eight industries

The present study provided a novel theoretical combination regarding the correlations between musculoskeletal disorders, occupational stress, and general health. According to the obtained results, the industries with the highest stress levels represented the lowest general health levels in the employees.

Comparison of the status of occupational stress in the participants based on work experience indicated that the prevalence of occupational stress was higher in the individuals with 11-20 years of work experience (mean =  $3.02 \pm 0.551$  years) compared to those with 1-10 (mean =  $2.97 \pm 0.543$  years) and 21-37 (mean =  $2.88 \pm 0.0604$  years) years of experience. This could be due to the fact that increased work experience is often associated with higher stress levels, while

increased work experience to more than 20 years causes individuals to adapt to working conditions, thereby reducing occupational stress. Comparison of the general health status of the employees based on work experience indicated that the level of general health was almost similar in these individuals.

Comparison of the status of occupational stress in the employees based on their professional status indicated that the level of occupational stress was higher in the individuals with temporary contracts (mean:  $3.31 \pm 0.529$ ). Previous studies in this regard have investigated the correlations between the parameters studied in the current research, such as the dimensions of occupational stress and their correlations with musculoskeletal disorders, denoting a significant association between the physical isometric load dimension the physical

isometric load dimension and musculoskeletal discomfort [24].

The findings of Kim et al. (2013) and Almeida et al. (2017) demonstrated a significant, positive correlation between occupational stress and occurrence of WMSDs. In the research by Kazemi et al. (2016), WMSDs had a profound effect on general health, and general health had a significantly, negative correlation with occupational stress. Similarly, Finney et al. (2017) reported a significant association between multisite peripheral joint pain and poor general health [25-28]. The aforementioned findings are consistent with the results of the present study.

 Table 3: Means and standard deviations for Stress and
 General Health in industries

	Variables			
Industrial	Stress	General		
	Mean ± SD	Health		
		Mean ± SD		
Drilling project	3.14 ± 0.454	1.71 ± 0.455		
Construction (bridge)	2.81 ± 0.43)	2.2 ± 0.554		
Construction (high way)	3.22 ± 0.417	1.62 ± 0.305		
Water diversion project	2.7 ± 0.697	2.07 ± 0.708		
Construction (tunneling)	2.53 ± 0.573	2.25 ± 0.669		
Construction (industrial-power plant)	$3.08 \pm 0.409$	1.65 ± 0.435		
Construction (building)	$3.05 \pm 0.48$	1.65 ± 0.511		
Construction (rail way)	$3.02 \pm 0.513$	2.06 ± 0.611		

 Table 4: Means and standard deviations for Stress and
 General Health in job categories

	Variables					
Job categories	Stress	General Health				
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD				
Digger Operator	2.86 ± 0.531	1.85 ± 0.526				
Executive Supervisor	3.15 ± 0.438	1.83 ± 0.57				
Conservator	3.01 ± 0.481	1.54 ± 0.309				
Cook	$2.42 \pm 0.628$	2.39 ± 0.787				
Mechanic Man	$3.19 \pm 0.632$	$1.68 \pm 0.434$				
It Clerk	3.10 ± 0.182	$1.80 \pm 0.635$				
Project Manager	$3.60 \pm 0.428$	$1.46 \pm 0.223$				
Concrete Lab Supervisor	$3.29 \pm 0.275$	1.86 ± 0.655				
Concrete Lab Technician	3.21 ± 0.42	1.64 ± 0.339				
Care Taker	2.54 ± 0.644	2.22 ± 0.552				
Worker	2.5 ± 0.582	2.55 ± 0.516				
Material Supervisor	2.86 ± 0.592	1.93 ± 0.721				
Technical Office	3.12 ± 0.456	1.88 ± 0.478				
Foreman	2.7 ± 0.454	1.92 ± 0.802				
Electrical Supervisor	3.24 ± 0.297	1.47 ± 0.204				
Light Machinery Driver	$2.79 \pm 0.492$	2.17 ± 0.692				
Executive Director	3.56 ± 0.001	1.67 ± 0.002				
Quality Assessment	$3.09 \pm 0.48$	1.41 ± 0.338				
HSE Officer	$3.09 \pm 0.529$	1.65 ± 0.365				
Welder	1.93 ± 0.751	2.21 ± 0.757				
HSE Supervisor	$3.44 \pm 0.344$	1.59 ± 0.675				
HSE Manager	$3.64 \pm 0.072$	$1.4 \pm 0.144$				
Heavy Machinery Driver	$2.68 \pm 0.38$	1.82 ± 0.438				
Installation Maintenance	$3.48 \pm 0.0954$	1.53 ± 0.556				
Supervisor						
Office Clerk	$3.09 \pm 0.534$	1.58 ± 0.382				
Machinery Maintenance	2.61 ± 1.06	$1.72 \pm 0.553$				
Supervisor						
Surveyor	$3.19 \pm 0.5$	1.55 ± 0.226				
Project Control Director	3.20 ± 0.198	1.48 ± 0.413				

Table 5:	Means	and	standard	deviations	of	demographic
variables	for stres	s and	aeneral h	ealth		

Demographic	Stress	General Health		
Variables	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD		
Job Experience				
1-10	2.97 ± 0.543	1.85 ± 0.605		
11-20	3.02 ± 0.551	1.86 ± 0.57		
21-37	$2.88 \pm 0.604$	1.94 ± 0.657		
Job Status				
Contractual	2.87 ± 0.554	1.87 ± 0.605		
Official	3.06 ± 0.413	1.65± 0.397		
Temporary Contract	3.31 ± 0.529	1.92 ± 0.53		
Age				
≤ 42	2.98 ± 0.538	1.84 ± 0.592		
43-52	2.99 ± 0.607	1.95 ± 0.619		
≥ 53	2.71 ± 0.526	$2.06 \pm 0.640$		

## 4. Conclusion

According to the results, WMSDs and occupational stress were significantly correlated in the industrial employees. Furthermore, a significant, positive correlation was observed between WMSDs and occupational stress, and significant, negative associations were denoted between WMSDs, occupational stress, and general health. The current research also denoted significant, positive associations between musculoskeletal disorders, work-related fatigue, and job burnout, as well as significant, negative associations between job satisfaction and musculoskeletal disorders, work-related fatigue, and job burnout.

In conclusion, the following recommendations are proposed:

•Modifying the workplaces of the individuals who perform long-term static tasks;

•Periodic identification of the individuals with musculoskeletal problems and taking measures to correct their posture;

•Designing proper physical exercises for the individuals with hard work postures;

•Cognitive-behavioral educational interventions to raise awareness regarding the disadvantages of mental health problems.

## **Authors' Contributions**

Kh. A., designed the study, E. A., conducted the field work and Z. J., analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The author report no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the participants for their involvement.

### References

- Coggon D, Ntani G, Palmer KT, Felli VE, Harari R, Barrero LH, et al. Disabling Musculoskeletal Pain in Working Populations: is it the Job, the Person, or the Culture? *PAIN*. 2013; 154(6): 856-63.
- Mishra D, Satapathy S. Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Disorders of Odisha Farmers in Selected Agricultural Tasks: A Critical Analysis During Seeding, Fertilizing, and Weeding of Crops, in Advanced Classification Techniques for Healthcare Analysis. *IGI Global*. 2019; 336-64.
- Wang D, Dai F, Ning X. Risk Assessment of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders in Construction: State-of-the-Art Review. J Construct Eng Manag. 2015; 141(6): 04015008.
- Choobineh A, Daneshmandi H, Aghabeigi M, Haghayegh A. Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Symptoms among Employees of Iranian Petrochemical Industries: October 2009 to December 2012. *Int J Occup Environ Med.* 2013; 4(4): 204-53.
- Umer W, Antwi-Afari MF, Li H, Szeto GPY, Wong AYL. The Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Symptoms in the Construction Industry: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health.* 2018; 91(2): 125-44.
- Hauret KG, Bedno S, Loringer K, Kao T-C, Mallon T, Jones BH. Epidemiology of Exercise-and Sports-Related Injuries in a Population of Young, physically Active Adults: A Survey of Military Servicemembers. Am J Sports Med. 2015; 43(11): 2645-53.
- Amin NA, Nordin R, Fatt QK, Noah RM, Oxley J. Relationship between Psychosocial Risk Factors and Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders among Public Hospital Nurses in Malaysia. Ann Occup Environ Med. 2014; 26(1): 23-39.
- Burton J, World Health Organization. WHO Healthy Workplace Framework and Model: Background and Supporting Literature and Practices, 2010. WHO: Geneva, Switzerland; 2016.
- 9. Burton J, World Health Organization. WHO Healthy Workplace Framework and Model: Background and Supporting Literature and Practices, 2010. WHO: Geneva, Switzerland; 2010.
- Garosi E, Mazloumi A, Kalantari R, Vahedi Z, Shirzhiyan Z. Design and Ergonomic Assessment of an Infusion Set Connector Tool Used in Nursing Work. *Appl Ergon*. 2019; 75: 91-8.
- Choobineh A, Lahmi M, Shahnavaz H, Khani Jazani R, Hosseini M. Musculoskeletal Symptoms as Related to Ergonomic Factors in Iranian Hand-Woven Carpet Industry and General Guidelines for Workstation Design. *Int J Occup Saf Ergon.* 2004; 10(2): 157-68.
- Wirth B, Ehrler M, Humphreys BK. First Episode of Acute Low Back Pain–an Exploratory Cluster Analysis Approach for Early Detection of Unfavorable Recovery. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2017; 39(25): 2559-65.
- Melloh M, Elfering A, Egli Presland C, Röder C, Hendrick P, Darlow B, et al. Predicting the Transition from Acute to Persistent Low Back Pain. Occup Med. 2011; 61(2): 127-31.

- 14. Karasek Jr RA. Job Demands, Job Decision Latitude, and Mental Strain: Implications for Job Redesign. *Admin Sci Q.* 1979; 24(2): 285-308.
- 15. Choobineh A. Posture Assessment Methods in Occupational Ergonomics. *Hamedan: Fanavaran Publication*; 2004.
- 16. Jamalizadeh Z, Safari Variani A, Ahmadi S, Asivandzadeh E. Association of Road Traffic Noise Exposure and Driving. J Hum Environ Health Promot. 2018; 4(3): 111-5.
- World Health Organization. Promoting Mental Health: Concepts, Emerging Evidence, Practice: Summary Report. WHO: Geneva, Switzerland; 2004.
- Jamalizadeh Z, Variani AS, Asivandzadeh E, Ahmadi S. The Association between Road Traffic Noise Exposure and Annoyance. *J Air Pollut Health.* 2018; 3(1): 17-24.
- Cameron JA. Assessing Work-Related Body-Part Discomfort: Current Strategies and a Behaviorally Oriented Assessment Tool. Int J Ind Ergon. 1996; 18(5-6): 389-98.
- Marzabadi EA, Gholami FM. Reliability and Validity Assessment for the HSE Job Stress Questionnaire. *J Behav Sci.* 2011; 4(14): 291-97.
- Nunnally JC, Bernstien IH. Psychometric Theory. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1994.
- 22. Goldberg D, Williams P. General Health Questionnaire (GHQ). Swindon, Wiltshire, UK: nferNelson; 2000.
- Montazeri A, Harirchi AM, Shariati M, Garmaroudi Gh, Ebadi M, Fateh A. The 12-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12): Translation and Validation Study of the Iranian Version. *Health Qual Life Outcome*. 2003; 1(1): 66.
- Barzideh M, Choobineh A, Tabatabaee H. Job Stress Dimensions and Their Relationship to Musculoskeletal Disorders in Iranian Nurses. Work. 2014; 47(4): 423-9.
- Kim MG, Kim KS, Ryoo JH, Yoo SW. Relationship between Occupational Stress and Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders in Korean Male Firefighters. *Ann Occup Environ Med.* 2013; 25(1): 9.
- 26. de Almeida LB, Vieira ER, Zaia JE, de Oliveira Santos BM, Lourenço ARV, Quemelo PRV. Musculoskeletal Disorders and Stress among Footwear Industry Workers. *Work*. 2017; 56(1): 67-73.
- Kazemi SS, Rafighi M. Musculoskeletal Disorders among Tarbiat Modarres University Students Living in Dormitories in 2016. Int J Musculoskelet Pain Prev. 2016; 1(4): 169-72.
- Finney A, Dziedzic KS, Lewis M, Healey E. Multisite Pperipheral Joint Pain: A Cross-Sectional Study of Prevalence and Impact on General Health, Quality of Life, Pain Intensity and Consultation Behaviour. *BMC Muscoskel Disord*. 2017; 18(1): 535.